1	Z. KATHRYN BRANSON, ESQ., Bar # 11540 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300	
2		
3	Las Vegas, NV 89169-5937 Telephone: 702.862.8800	
4	Fax No.: 702.862.8811	
5		
6	Attorneys for Defendant WALMART INC.	
7		
8	LINUTED CTA	TEC DICTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	DISTRI	ICI OF NEVADA
11	HONG MCELROY, as Administrator	Case No.:
12	of the Estate of CURTIS MCELROY,	Case Ivo
13	Plaintiff,	NOTICE TO FEDERAL COURT OF
14	VS.	REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION FROM STATE COURT
15	WAL-MART STORES, INC., d/b/a Walmart, Inc., a Delaware corporation;	[28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, and 1446]
16	DOES 1 through X, inclusive; ROE CORPORATIONS, I through X, inclusive,	[FEDERAL QUESTION]
17	Defendants.	[FEDERAL QUESTION]
18	Defendants.	
19	TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA:	
20	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Defendant WALMART INC. ("Defendant") hereby	
21	removes the above-entitled action from the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for the County of	
22	Clark, State of Nevada, to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28	
23	U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441(a) and (c), and 1446. This removal is based upon federal question	
24	jurisdiction and is timely.	
25	In support of this Notice of Removal, Defendant states to the Court as follows:	
26	1. On December 27, 2019, an action was commenced in the Eighth Judicial Distriction	
27	Court of Clark County, Nevada, entitled HONG MCELROY, as Administrator of the Estate of	
	Court of Clark Country, INCVAUA, CHILITEU 1.	10110 MCLLIO1, as Administrator of the Estate of

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

LITTLER MENDELSON P.C. Attorneys At Law 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5937 702.862.8800

- CURTIS MCELROY v. WAL-MART STORES, INC., d/b/a Walmart, Inc. a Delaware Corporation; DOES I through X, inclusive; ROE CORPORATIONS, I through X, inclusive, Dept. 24, Case Number A-19-807590-C. A true and correct copy of the Summons and Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. The first date upon which Defendant received notice of the Complaint was March 23, 2020, when Defendant was served. Accordingly, this Notice of Removal is timely.
- 3. The Complaint alleges claims against Defendant that are based on federal law including discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq.
- Accordingly, this action is a civil action for which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides that United States district courts "shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." As noted, this action may be removed to this court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because Plaintiff is asserting claims arising under federal law.
- 5. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the Complaint's state law claims in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court as this is the court for the district and division embracing the place where the action is pending in state court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 108 and 1441(a).
- 7. The defendants designated as DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X are fictitious defendants, are not parties to this action, and have not been named or served. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names must be disregarded for the purpose of determining diversity jurisdiction and cannot destroy the diversity of citizenship between the parties in this action. See McCabe v. Gen. Foods Corp., 811 F. 2d 1336, 1339 (9th Cir. 1987) (proper to disregard unnamed Doe defendants for purposes of diversity); Newcombe v. Adolf Coors Co., 157 F. 3d 686, 690-91 (9th Cir. 1998) ("district court was correct in only considering the domicile of the named defendants"). The Doe and Roe defendants, therefore, need not consent to this removal.

8. Concurrent with the filing of this Notice of Removal, Defendant is providing notice of the removal to the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, Nevada and to Plaintiff's counsel of record. A copy of the Notice of Filing Notice of Removal is attached as **Exhibit B**.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that the above-referenced action now pending against it in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, be removed to this Court.

Dated: April 22, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Z. KATHRYN BRANSON, ESQ. LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant WALMART INC.

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** I am a resident of the State of Nevada, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the 2 3 within action. My business address is 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300, Las Vegas, Nevada 89169. On April 22, 2020, I served the within document(s): 4 5 NOTICE TO FEDERAL COURT OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION FROM STATE COURT 6 By CM/ECF Filing – Pursuant to FRCP 5(b)(3) and LR 5-4, the above-referenced document 7 was electronically filed and served upon the parties listed below through the Court's Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system: 8 Eric D. Hone, Esq. 9 Jamie L. Zimmerman, Esq. 10 Moorea L. Katz, Esq. H1 LAW GROUP 11 701 North Green Valley Parkway, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074 12 Attorney for Plaintiff 13 14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 15 22, 2020, at Las Vegas, Nevada. 16 17 18 /s/ Maribel Rodriguez 19 Maribel Rodriguez 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28